Stakeholders Qualitative Case Study Dissertation Research Protocol

Joseph Mallon

University of Phoenix

February 13, 2017

Stakeholders Qualitative Case Study Dissertation Research Protocol

A dissertation is a major work of a doctoral program. The dissertation is worked on through the entire doctoral program and can use a research method, such as qualitative (Steeg, 2016), and a research design, such as a case study (Patton & Appelbaum, 2003). The doctoral program finishes when the dissertation receives approval by the reviewers of the university's final quality review area. A qualified dissertation has much substance to it so will have a theoretical framework and can have a research protocol (Erazo, 2015; Johnson & Boeing, 2016; Kujala et al., 2016). For expression of the thoroughness of the involved dissertation, the research protocol is gone through and beginning with the title of the dissertation.

Title of Dissertation

Stakeholders disengaging to the Disadvantage of All Stakeholders: A Qualitative Case Study

The title of the dissertation describes the problem of the study. The title could be different and was originally different because originally the solution was depicted, too. In other words, the situation is known and understood that stakeholders have to on their levels deal with the matters involved and provide opportunities, rewards, and honors due (Erazo, 2015; Johnson & Boeing, 2016; Kujala et al., 2016). The question is how more to say that, work that out, have that regarded, advance the situation, mitigate through the times before adequate progress, and remain successful through the circumstances described (Stewart & Gapp, 2014). Some advisory about the title was to make it say the problem and not the solution, but the solution can be put back in because the issue is not just expressing the solution and is achieving it and achieving enough of it.

The title is 12 words, which meets APA standards of the title recommended as having up to 12 words ("APA Formatting…," 2016). With or without an alteration, the title describes the content of the dissertation and including its study. The title has held up and met all applicable standards through the past years. The title describes the theoretical framework by saying about stakeholders disengaging to everyone's disadvantage when the opposite is necessary (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016). The title says the study method used, which is qualitative (Steeg, 2016), and the title says of the design used, which is a case study (Patton & Appelbaum, 2003).

A possible alternative title could include the solution. An emphasis of the solution would not hurt because the study is reaching the solution, confirming the solution, and advancing the solution (Tatarova, 2014). The situation is not just a matter of figuring out the solution or saying the solution. The situation is more a matter of figuring out how to more back or confirm the solution, have the solution known and understood, advance the implementation of the solution, and have and maintain a better situation than with the problem without the solution (Hughes, O'Regan, & Wornham, 2008). The title could be, "Stakeholders Rewarding and Honoring Instead of Disengaging: A Qualitative Case Study" or "Stakeholders Providing Rewarding Opportunities Instead of Disengaging: A Qualitative Case Study."

General Problem Dissertation Addresses

The general problem is that many important issues have not been being addressed, and the matters involved have not been being worked out. An excessive amount of time has gone by with this condition (Driessen et al., 2005; Spilka, 1993). Too much stays left neglected and requiring and not able to provide proper benefits (Niklas, Owens, & Wayne, 2013; O'Mahony, 2006; Wuokko, 2013). The situation is not the same as it otherwise would be with parties involved doing their parts—stakeholders on their levels providing rewarding opportunities and

honors to other worthy stakeholders and in the best interests of all stakeholders (Bain, Kashima, & Haslam, 2006; Lindebaum, 2009). Without this right, positive, and successful situation, much is missing from professional fields involved and the society, and many advancements cannot be made.

Specific Problem Dissertation Addresses

The specific problem is that stakeholders directly involved have been excessively not doing their parts and disengaging instead. The rewards and honors due to worthy stakeholders have not been being bestowed, and no rewarding or honorable opportunities have been being provided (Higley & Burton, 1989; O'Mahony, 2006). A stagnant situation was caused, and everything meritorious was left requiring and not able to provide benefits (Niklas, Owens, & Wayne, 2013; Wuokko, 2013). Many opportunity costs were incurred as a result, and the return-on-investment was far below reasonable levels (Barnett, 2007). Improvement required for a long time and had to keep on being worked on successfully, as was done, for stakeholders to reverse from disengaging and to engage instead in providing rewards due to other worthy stakeholders.

Research Question of Dissertation

There is one research question. The research question is w*hat are the best actions to take concerning informed American and Christian stakeholders excessively disengaging in contrast to other stakeholders.* There were originally multiple research questions. The multiple research questions had one main question and various sub-questions.

The research questions were then made into the main research question as the only research question. The other questions were changed from questions into statements and were thus made to be supporting statements instead of questions. There was then one research

question to concentrate on and work with through the study. More research questions beyond

only one were still possible, but for dissertation purposes, less to argue about, bicker about, and

get in the way was preferred, so one research question was gone with for the dissertation. That

left the research question as w*hat are the best actions to commence concerning informed*

American and Christian stakeholders excessively disengaging in contrast to other stakeholders.

Conceptual Framework of Dissertation

The study has a sound conceptual framework (Russo & Perrini, 2010; Simberova, 2009).

The study is a business study. The study is not a social study, a medical study, or an educational

study. The professional field involved is business, so the study is a business study. Stakeholder

theory was the main theory included and focused on, and that is a business perspective of

stakeholder theory and as the framework of the study illustrates (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016).

The most important concepts a reader must understand are that there is a long, well-

documented history in the background of the study, and the context is extensively as described

and not anything else or less. A realistic consideration of the time involved with the background

of the study is necessary (Branham, 2005). In no way was there not enough time involved, and

certainly no longer is needed for seeing about anything. The dissertation was already written in

various ways to add to understandability, and full validy and worthiness have already been

established.

Objectives of Dissertation

The main objectives of the study are primarily to complete the doctoral program

successfully and as efficiently as possible. Much of the dissertation is designed for that purpose.

The dissertation was and is still for meeting other objectives, too, such as being a high quality

and significant work that deals with the background described. Because of the extensive amount

of time already involved, though, and not wanting to take up any more time with unconstructive

contrasts and bickering, the priority and design was finishing the doctoral program well and

soon. After too much time, a doctoral student/candidate can no longer justify attempting to be

more inclusive and can only justify getting done, while still also, only out of original intent and

honorability, getting done well and successfully (Reed & Reed, 2009).

Study Setting of Dissertation

The study setting is no set place, but the main work done on the study is done in a private

office with a desk and computer use. The years of work on the study include many different

locations and wherever anything happened or was done relevantly involved, but the conclusive

work was done in the private office. The private office is the same as an executive office in

business. The office in this particular context of the study was also the same as the private

chambers for judicial work or a private office for work of a public official. The case study was

originated because of law work precedent, as is expressed formally in the dissertation.

The study and the whole dissertation was in business, and if it was a law dissertation, it

would have been different. Law cases and codes would have been cited much more instead of

primarily citing articles. The citations would be considered authority. The articles are

authoritative peer-reviewed journal articles, so they do have an amount of authority and are like

citing law cases and legal codes (Paas, 2015; Tola, 2008). There were some legal codes and law

journal articles cited in the dissertation, too, and there is law involved with business, but still, the

primary field of this dissertation was business and not law.

Method of Dissertation

The method of the dissertation is qualitative, and its design is a case study. The study is extensively inclusive but has a singular area of focus and coverage (Helsloot & Jong, 2006). The case study includes a content review of an article accumulation and two additional accumulation data sources. The article accumulation and the data of the other two accumulation data sources are secondary data, and the secondary data is triangulated and then synthesized with primary data from interviews with participants. After the triangulation and synthesis, the results are applied to the study context to reach conclusions and make recommendations.

After the topic-relevant data compilation was done, an analytics review was done. The analytics measured word frequencies (Stewart & Gapp, 2014). The grouped words form themes and are placed into thematic categories (Edwards & Holt, 2010; Huettman, 1993; Jack & Raturi, 2006). The accumulated total shows the frequencies of word appearances in the data. The higher the word frequency, the more the data emphasized that thematic topic.

The thematic topics from the literary articles accumulation data source form themes, and the data is then triangulated with data from the two additional data sources, which together are three researched data sources or one newly formed compiled data source. Then this triangulated data is synthesized with the dataset from the other data source, which is the participant interviews. This particular triangulated data source—a topic-relevant, compiled data source—produces secondary data, and the participant interviews data source produces primary data. The primary and secondary data are synthesized. The results are data that forms themes, and these themes can be and are applied to the contextual background for conclusions and recommendations.

Data Management and Analysis Dissertation Used

The data for the study is collected by means of two types of data sources. One type of data source is participant interviews and provides primary data. The second type of data source is a literature accumulation along with two other similar accumulations, and these particular types of data sources provide secondary data. The data of the two datasets go through a synthesis (Barth & Thomas, 2012). The two datasets do not include any personal information.

By not obtaining any personal information, the personal information is protected. There is not any personal information to leak out from the study. The information that is obtained is still important and will be maintained and safeguarded. The data will be saved in online and offline computer storage drives. No personal information is around, and all important information is at least triple saved and stored, and this is in addition to anything along the lines of copyrights.

The data is analyzed a few different ways. The data is put on an Excel spreadsheet and is manually analyzed (Edwards & Holt, 2010; Huettman, 1993; Jack & Raturi, 2006; Stewart & Gapp, 2014). Comprehension of the data and its meanings is reached, and this understanding of the data is done before and after the triangulation and synthesis (Barth & Thomas, 2012; Houghton, Casey, Shaw, & Murphy, 2013). For another layer of data analysis, two analysis software systems are used, and this information has its results put with the manually produced results. Not too many charts, graphs, and tables are included because of the study being a qualitative study, but there are some charts, graphs, and tables included for analysis and illustrative purposes.

Ethics, Bias, and Reliability concerning Dissertation

Research participants will be protected by not providing any personal information (Van Horn, 2003). Personal information is not sought for this study. If any personal information gets into a participant response, it will not be used as part of the study. All the data will remain stored in secured online and offline databases, and all data will be stored in this manner for at least ten full years (Smith, 2014). The participants stay protected because any information provided is kept secured for no use other than the study purposes; no personal information is sought or provided, and no personal interaction occurs.

Any kind of personal bias will only be in terms already specified in formal materials relevant. These personal biases will only be in terms of expertise and experience, and any issue that arises, if any, will be specified and set as final say in formal documentation relevant to the case study. If there is anything clearly in bad faith, without good intent, ill-willed, defamatory, or contemptuous, on the part of a participant, the participant will be immediately disqualified, and the derogatory data will be removed from the usable data. All data will be made sure to be reliable by starting with all data meeting beginning requirements and keeping all data relevant to and focused on the study (Alcaniz, Caceres, & Perez, 2010; Clapper & Harris, 2008). Further, the usable data is used multiple times to ensure reliability of the data.

Discussion involving Dissertation

All the usual publishing will be done. Materials will be published on privately owned websites. Full informing of any relevant party will be done, and there will remain an ability to receive relevant communication. Any offer or opportunities will be efficiently followed up with

accordingly. There is and will be more along these lines, too, and future continuance in these ways depends on the rate of progress.

Limitations concerning Dissertation

The limitations of the study are all centered on it being worked with honorably. This aspect affects everything else. All other limitations work out at best or as best as possible with the study being honorably worked with as having its merits, including wisdom and authority. There are limitations of time involved with the study, amount of work involved with working with the study, and the time and money working with the study takes, and there is a limitation of people lacking knowledge in the direction of the study (Branham, 2005; Driessen et al., 2005; Spilka, 1993; Stewart & Gapp, 2014). As can be understood, though, the aspect of the study being honorably worked with lessens those limitations and enables there to be possibilities of advancing passed the limitations.

Conclusion

The research protocol gone through included much of the proceeding and background foundations of the active dissertation. The protocol started with the dissertation title that, as the protocol shows, is not merely a title and actually is an expression that has background meaning, substantial components, and stands for the expression of a whole dissertation (Erazo, 2015; Johnson & Boeing, 2016; Kujala et al., 2016). Some of the background of the study is reviewed, and the theoretical/conceptual framework the study is built on is covered, too (Russo & Perrini, 2010; Simberova, 2009). This particular dissertation active here has much more substance and merit than could be or was reviewed in the research protocol. The dissertation has spoken for itself many times already and remained excellent and successful, even beyond any inaccurate or

unjustified contrast, and this research protocol is another expression of some of the extensive

merit and substance the dissertation has, in addition to potential.

References

Alcaniz, E., Caceres, R., & Perez, R. (2010). Alliances between brands and social causes: The

    influence of company credibility on social responsibility image. *Journal of Business*

    Ethics, 96(2), 169-186. doi: 10.1007/s10551-010-0461-x.

"APA Formatting…" (2016). APA Formatting and style guide: General format, title page. *OWL:*

    Purdue online writing lab. Retrieved from

    https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/560/01/.

Bain, P. G., Kashima, Y., & Haslam, N. (2006). Conceptual beliefs about human values and their

    implications: Human nature beliefs predict value importance, value trade-offs, and

    responses to value-laden rhetoric. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology, 91*(2),

    351-367. Retrieved from EBSCOHost: Academic Search Complete.

Barnett, M. L. (2007). Stakeholder influence capacity and the variability of financial returns to

    corporate social responsibility. *Academy of Management Review, 32*(3), 794-816. doi:

    10.5465/AMR.2007.25275520.

Barth, M., & Thomas, I. (2012). Synthesising case-study research - ready for the next step?

    Environmental Education Research, 18(6), 751-764. doi:

    10.1080/13504622.2012.665849.

Branham, L. (2005). The 7 hidden reasons employees leave. *Business Book Review Library,*

    22(3), 1-10. Retrieved from

    http://web.a.ebscohost.com.contentproxy.phoenix.edu/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=20

    &sid=915ac1cb-05d0-42c4-9b35-6efef10ff352%40sessionmgr4010&hid=4207.

Clapper, D. C., & Harris, L. L. (2008). Reliability and validity of an instrument to describe

    burnout among collegiate athletic trainers. *Journal of Athletic Training (National Athletic*

    Trainers' Association), 43(1), 62-69. Retrieved from

    http://web.a.ebscohost.com.contentproxy.phoenix.edu/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=5

    &sid=0079fc39-7520-4bbe-b540-ef42578594fb%40sessionmgr4009&hid=4214.

Driessen, E., van derVieten, C., Schuwirth, L., vanTartwijk, J., & Vermunt, J. (2005). The use of

    qualitative research criteria for portfolio assessment as an alternative to reliability

    evaluation: a case study. *Medical Education, 39*(2), 214-220. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-

    2929.2004.02059.x.

Edwards, D. J., & Holt, G. D. (2010). The case for "3D triangulation" when applied to

    construction management research. *Construction Innovation, 10.1*, 25-41. doi:

    10.1108/14714171011018292.

Erazo, J. S. (2015). Elusive unity: Factionalism and the limits of identity politics in Yucatan,

    Mexico. *Dreptul, 6*, 169-175. doi: 10.1111/plar.12118.

Helsloot, I., & Jong, W. (2006). Risk management in higher education and research in the

    Netherlands. *Journal of Contingencies & Crisis Management, 14*(3), 142-159. doi:

    10.1111/j.1468-5973.2006.00490.x.

Higley, J., & Burton, M. G. (1989). The elite variable in democratic transitions and breakdowns.

    American Sociological Review, 54(1), 17-32. Retrieved from

    http://web.a.ebscohost.com.contentproxy.phoenix.edu/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=2

    &sid=874446fd-ca80-4e8a-b8b8-83dbf0cd446d%40sessionmgr4008&hid=4212.

Houghton, C., Casey, D., Shaw, D., & Murphy, K. (2013). Rigour in qualitative case-study

    research. *Nurse Researcher, 20*(4), 12-17. Retrieved from

    http://web.b.ebscohost.com.contentproxy.phoenix.edu/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=48

    &sid=3919a898-94d2-46a1-9f61-490e7de4a4cf%40sessionmgr120&hid=130.

Huettman, E. (1993). Using triangulation effectively in qualitative research. *Bulletin of the

    Association of Business Communication, 56*(3), 42. Retrieved from

    http://web.a.ebscohost.com.contentproxy.phoenix.edu/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=44

    &sid=915ac1cb-05d0-42c4-9b35-6efef10ff352%40sessionmgr4010&hid=4207.

Hughes, T., O'Regan, N., & Wornham, D. (2008). The credibility issue: closing the

    academic/practitioner gap. *Strategic Change, 17*(7/8), 215-233. doi: 10.1002/jsc.828.

Jack, E. P., & Raturi, A. S. (2006). Lessons learned from methodological triangulation in

    management research. *Management Research News, 29.6*, 345. doi:

    10.1108/01409170610683833.

Johnson, J. S., & Boeing, R. (2016). There is strength in unity: understanding the sales-marketing

    interface in Brazil. *Journal of Personal Selling & Sales Management, 36*(2), 190-205.

    doi: 10.1080/08853134.2016.1181524.

Kujala, J., Lehtimaki, H., & Pucetaite, R. (2016). Trust and distrust constructing unity and

    fragmentation of organizational culture. *Journal of Business Ethics, 139*(4), 701-716. doi:

    10.1007/s10551-015-2915-7.

Lindebaum, D. (2009). Rhetoric or remedy? A critique on developing emotional intelligence.

    Academy of Management Learning & Education, 8(2), 225-237. doi:

    10.5465/AMLE.2009.41788844.

Merriam, S. B. & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation (4th ed.)*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Niklas, K. J., Owens, T. G., & Wayne, R. O. (2013). Unity and disunity in biology. *BioScience, 63*(10), 811-816. doi: 10.1525/bio.2013.63.10.8.

O'Mahony, A. (2006). Christian presence in modern Jerusalem: religion and politics in the Holy Land. *Evangelical Quarterly, 78*(3), 257-272. Retrieved from EBSCOHost: Academic Search Complete.

Paas, F. (2015). Incoming editor's editorial. *Educational Psychology Review, 27*(4), 561-562. doi: 10.1007/s10648-015-9347-x.

Patton, E., & Appelbaum, S. H. (2003). The case for case studies in management research. *Management Research News, 26*(5), 60-71. Retrieved from http://search.proquest.com.contentproxy.phoenix.edu/docview/223547610/fulltextPDF/DF63A08398C5462APQ/17?accountid=35812.

Reed, A., & Reed, D. (2009). Partnerships for development: Four models of business management. *Journal of Business Ethics, 90(Supplement 1)*, 3-37. doi: 10.1007/s10551-008-9913-y.

Russo, A., & Perrini, F. (2010). Investigating stakeholder theory and social capital: CRS in large firms and SMEs. *Journal of Business Ethics, 91*(2), 207-221. doi: 10.1007/s10551-009-0079-z.

Simberova, I. (2009). Corporate culture - As a barrier of market orientation implementation. *Economics & Management,* 513-521. Retrieved from

http://web.b.ebscohost.com.contentproxy.phoenix.edu/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=2

&sid=5c04a587-3775-48e8-981d-a30e3e78d2f2%40sessionmgr1.

Smith, S. L. (2014). Abidor and House: Lost opportunities to sync the border search doctrine with today's technology. *New England Journal on Criminal & Civil Confinement, 40*(1), 223-253. Retrieved from

http://web.a.ebscohost.com.contentproxy.phoenix.edu/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=4

&sid=10c119cd-b523-4751-808d-8be42b20e9ba%40sessionmgr4006&hid=4214.

Spilka, R. (1993). The value of a flexible, cautionary approach to data gathering in qualitative research. *Bulletin of the Association for Business Communication, 56*(3), 40-41. Retrieved from

http://web.b.ebscohost.com.contentproxy.phoenix.edu/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=13

&sid=8c47c058-9a00-4fb8-91e2-7e3222dedcc5%40sessionmgr102&hid=129.

Steeg, S. M. (2016). A case study of teacher reflection: Examining teacher participation in a video-based professional learning community. *Journal of Language and Literacy Education, 12*(1), 122-141. Retrieved from

http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1101024.pdf.

Stewart, H., & Gapp, R. (2014). Achieving effective sustainable management: A small-medium enterprise case study. *Corporate Social Responsibility & Environmental Management, 21*(1), 52-64. doi: 10.1002/csr.1305.

Tatarova, A. (2014). The concept and features of discretionary powers of judges. *Newspaper IKBFU*, (1), 110-114. Retrieved from

http://web.a.ebscohost.com.contentproxy.phoenix.edu/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=10

&sid=c26baeae-c168-47ac-b028-b15bdf1b8245%40sessionmgr4006&hid=4114.

Tola, E. (2008). To blog or not to blog, not a real choice there… *JCOM: Journal of Science*

Communication, 7(2), 1-19. Retrieved from

http://web.a.ebscohost.com.contentproxy.phoenix.edu/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=15

&sid=18a721ac-b7b6-4c3e-9770-1b7bd8ee436b%40sessionmgr4006&hid=4207.

Van Horn, R. W. (2003). Prudent computing. *Phi Delta Kappan, 85*(3), 183-250. Retrieved from

http://web.a.ebscohost.com.contentproxy.phoenix.edu/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=2

&sid=10c119cd-b523-4751-808d-8be42b20e9ba%40sessionmgr4006&hid=4214.

Wuokko, M. (2013). Layers of disunity: The presidential politics of Finnish business, 1981-1982.

Scandinavian Journal of History, 38(5), 612-635. doi: 10.1080/03468755.2013.848330.