

**Reflections of Why Iran Issues and Laws Were Included in Federal Court Law Case,
07/29/2026**

Trump and the Trump administration caused issues involving Iran and including some of those issues being necessary to address. I necessarily communicated to Trump and the Trump administration through the entire year of 2025, since in January 2025, because I had claims concerning the United States. With Trump and the Trump administration not handling the claims, I had to do an SF-95 form in October 2025. With Trump and the Trump administration not handling the SF-95 form within 6 months, I had to make a Federal Court law case, 26-cv-02712. With Trump and the Trump administration, or the United States with vicarious liability, not handling the Federal Court law case in 60 days, I had to make a Motion for Judgment by Default.

The described situation shows that I had plenty of material present with the then current regime at the White House before Iran was heightened to be included as an active issue. Actually, before Iran was caused to be an issue, ICE was caused to be an issue and then Venezuela. Then it was Iran. My materials and claims were there first, but because they were not handled, these other areas were pushed by Trump and the Trump administration so were caused to be issues to additionally be addressed in the actively requiring situation of my claims, SF-95 form, and then U.S. Federal Court law case, 26-cv-02712. That is why and how Iran was included in my federal court law case materials.

Iran would not have been included in my Federal Court law case otherwise. I had nothing else to do with Iran. Iran was not included in any of my previous materials, such as my claims concerning the United States, my SF-95 form, or my original Federal Court law case initiation filings. Trump and the Trump administration pushed the Iran situation though, instead of working with my materials and caused the situation to be necessary to address these Iran matters. To do my duty and show that I could and would, and because of the urgency and importance, I addressed the Iran issues.

I did not want to have a distorted skew to me of treachery or aiding and abetting with the enemy in times of war. There was no war with Iran, and I just addressed the active issues, including with Iran, along the way. Trump and the Trump administration caused this warring situation with Iran before the United States was officially at war with Iran. Actually, I am not

sure if the United States as a nation is even yet officially at war with Iran. I myself had nothing to do with Iran before Trump and the Trump administration caused Iran to be at issue so much that I had a duty to address some of the Iran issues caused to be in the way of my claims, my SF-95 form, and my active Federal Court law case that had the United States having vicarious liability for Trump and the Trump administration.

Additionally, since way back in the times I was working with my SF-95 form, I advised Trump and the Trump administration that the United States would be subject to a Federal Court law case if my SF-95 form was not handled within 6 months. I advised and warned that if a Federal Court law case is caused, the United States would have caused this law case, and I would request and expect the United States to pay for all court costs and legal fees. I would also require and request for the United States to pay me for my legal work done and to recognize, respect, and honor my legal authority concerning any and all of my work on this Federal Court law case. I would expect and require that any and all of my legal findings be known and regarded as law that is binding authority and fully enforceable Federal law. Because this was the situation, some of my Federal Court law case work was in this way of including Iran, and had nothing to do with personal involvement with Iran, helping an enemy of the United States, or doing anything other than Trump and the Trump administration did not cause to be necessary law work for me to do, as the United States had vicarious liability.

Additionally, in some of my past communication to Trump and the Trump administration at the White House, I explained that I did work, including law work, through the first Iraq war in the early 1990s, made law cases in U.S. Federal Courts, and authored an Iraq War Book with a Law Emphasis (1992). I explained how the issues involved were not just fully addressed, so I had to keep on working on everything through the next years. I further said that after I reached doctoral levels and was still not rewarded or honored in 2012, I did and completed a post-doctoral business dissertation in 2019 and then completed a doctoral law dissertation in the end of 2024. With my doctoral law dissertation, I bridged the past more than 30 years together and earned my doctoral law designation that I wanted from a qualified American law school such as Harvard Law School. I wanted my S.J.D. (Doctor of Juridical Science) degree from Harvard Law School because that was the law school I selected more than 30 years ago.

I also explained that I already completed my doctoral law dissertation, and that law dissertation can already be considered as a form of law because it is already a law dissertation that is very advanced. A little more relevant advancement is needed, and I said about that, but also, this particular doctoral law dissertation is already very advanced, as I previously explained.

Furthermore, in my materials to the White House, I appropriately advised that if there is this Federal Court law case, I would have to be and should be referred to as “Your Honor” or “Honorable” when referring to me in any way concerning this Federal Court law case.

Nothing involving myself or my materials had anything to do with Iran until Trump and the Trump administration caused Iran to be involved and caused the United States to have vicarious liability. I then because of duty and relevancy had to address some of the Iran issues, as I did. I did not necessarily have to be the only one handling Iran issues, and concurring opinions would be appropriate, but there should not be any unfounded or unjustified dissenting opinions.

When working with my Federal Court law case, there can be seen that there are Iran issues and laws included and involved, but there is nothing directly from Iran, or any other country on Earth. My Federal Court law case is exclusively from and of the United States and from and of an American who is a U.S. Citizen.

All that is directly external to the United States is much of what is involved with God’s Miracle Cross, as I have explained about many times in many other places.

Joseph Mallon

07/29/2026