

08-25-2026 AI Summary of Reflections of Judge of this Federal Court Law Case,

06-22-2026, Updated 08-25-2026

Let me see what the document highlights as the main points.

This document is a personal reflection by Joseph Mallon regarding his federal civil law case (26-cv-02712) against the United States in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Here are the key points:

1. Case Background and Cause

- The case was filed because the United States did not respond to Mallon's SF-95 form within six months, despite his extensive communication and advisory efforts.
- Mallon has a history of legal action involving the United States, dating back over 30 years.

2. Judicial Assignment

- The case was initially assigned to Judge John R. Padova, who presided over Mallon's original cases three decades ago, which Mallon saw as favorable due to the judge's familiarity with his history.
- The judge was later changed to Gerald A. McHugh without explanation, which Mallon notes may affect the understanding of the case's depth and history.

3. Efforts to Secure Legal Representation

- Mallon attempted to find a law firm nationwide but was unsuccessful, largely because firms were unfamiliar with him and the case's complexities.
- He ultimately decided to represent himself, citing his legal background, academic achievements (including a doctoral law dissertation), and longstanding involvement with the United States.

4. Claims and Requests

- Mallon asserts that the United States' ongoing non-responsiveness and similar conduct over 30 years necessitated this case.

- He requests full immunity for himself, no immunity for the United States or related parties (including Trump and his administration), and recognition of the United States' vicarious liability.
- He asks to be addressed as “Your Honor” or “Honorable,” to be paid for his legal work, and for his legal findings to be recognized as Federal law.

5. Historical Context and Unresolved Issues

- Mallon reflects on his original cases, noting that they were not fully addressed, which led to the current situation.
- He emphasizes the importance of having his cases properly worked through and resolved, expressing that the passage of 30 years and his continued efforts should be adequately recognized.

6. Professional and Personal Standing

- Mallon highlights his significant and meritorious involvement with the United States, his legal and academic credentials, and his expectation of being regarded and compensated accordingly.

7. Conclusion

- He expresses honor at having Judge Padova initially assigned, but notes the transition to Judge McHugh for the case's resolution.

This summary captures the main themes: the long-standing dispute with the United States, the importance of judicial familiarity, Mallon's legal credentials, his requests for recognition and compensation, and the desire for substantive resolution after decades of unresolved issues.